

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/737,298	12	/16/2003	John L. Kemper	037607-0251	7677	
34099	7590	03/09/2006		EXA	MINER	
FANN-MKE C/O				HAMILTOI	HAMILTON, LALITA M	
FOLEY & LARDNER 777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5367				3624		

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)						
10/737,298	KEMPER ET AL.						
Examiner	Art Unit						
Lalita M. Hamilton	3624						
pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address						
DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
luly 2005.							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 July 2005</u> . a)⊡ This action is FINAL .							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.							
or election requirement.							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
xaminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.						
its have been received. Its have been received in Applic prity documents have been rece	ation No						
4) 🔀 Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai	ary (PTO-413)						
	Examiner Lalita M. Hamilton pears on the cover sheet with the CY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (1964). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fire, cause the application to become ABANDO and date of this communication, even if timely find the communication of t						

DETAILED ACTION

Summary

On July 25, 2005, the Applicant filed a response regarding supporting material for the rule 1.131 Affidavit filed on November 16, 2004. A new non-final rejection follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Greenwood (2002/0152155).

Greenwood discloses a method and corresponding system of application processing whereby loan data is verified comprising receiving a second set of Loan data for the loan from the seller, the second set of Loan data associated with a delivery process, retrieving the first set of Loan data, and comparing the first set of Loan data and the second set of Loan data to determine any differences (p.6, 91-97 and p.8, 115-121); determining at least one difference between the first set of loan data and the second set of Loan data and editing the second set of Loan data (p.6, 91-97 and p.8, 115-121); determining at least one difference between the first set of Loan data and the second set of Loan data and notifying the seller of the at least one difference (p.6, 91-

Art Unit: 3624

97; p.7, 106; and p.8, 115-121—customer always notified of problems pertaining to the loan); determining at least one difference between the first set of Loan data and the second set of Loan data and processing the second set of loan data using the underwriting process (p.6, 91-97 and p.8, 115-121); determining at least one difference between the first set of Loan data and the second set of Loan data and determining a vield adjustment for the Loan based on the at least one difference (p.2, 18-19; p.6, 91-97 and p.8, 115-121); determining at least one difference between the first set of Loan data and the second set of Loan data and determining a fee to be charged to the seller based on the at least one difference (p.2, 18-19; p.6, 91-97 and p.8, 115-121); means for receiving a second set of Loan data for the Loan from the seller, the second set of Loan data associated with a delivery process, means for retrieving the first set of Loan data, and means for comparing the first set of loan data and the second set of loan data to determine any differences (p.6, 91-97 and p.8, 115-121); means for editing the second set of Loan data upon determining at least one difference between the first set of Loan data and the second set of Loan data (p.6, 91-97 and p.8, 115-121); receiving a set of delivery data from a seller, comparing the set of underwriting data to 'the set of delivery data to identify any differences, determining a price for the loan based on at least one of the delivery data and the underwriting data, and upon identifying at least one difference between the set of underwriting data and the set of delivery data, determining a price change based upon the at least one difference (p.6, 91-97 and p.8, 115-121); comparing a predetermined set of information in the set of underwriting data and the set of delivery data (p.6, 91-97 and p.8, 115-121); generating an updated set of

Application/Control Number: 10/737,298

Art Unit: 3624

underwriting data based on the at least one difference and determining a price based on the updated set of underwriting data (p.6, 91-97 and p.8, 115-121); means for receiving a set of delivery data from a seller, means for comparing the set of underwriting data to the set of delivery data to identify any differences, means for determining a price for the Loan based on at least one of the delivery data and the underwriting data, and means for determining a price change based upon at least one difference identified between the set of underwriting data and the set of delivery data (p.6, 91-97 and p.8, 115-121); receiving a set of delivery data from the seller using delivery logic, accessing the set of underwriting data using the identifier, and comparing the set of underwriting data to the set of delivery data to determine any differences (p.6, 91-97 and p.8, 115-121); determining at least one difference between the set of underwriting data and the set of delivery data and editing the set of delivery data (p.6, 91-97 and p.8, 115-121); receiving a second set of Loan data for the Loan from the seller, the second set of Loan data associated with a delivery process, retrieving the first set of Loan data, comparing the first set of Loan data and the second set of Loan data to determine any differences, and determining a yield adjustment for the Loan based on at least one difference, wherein the seller determines not to deliver the loan to the purchaser based on the yield adjustment (p.2, 18-19; p.6, 91-97 and p.8, 115-121); and having a set of underwriting data provided by underwriting logic, the set of underwriting data having an identifier, the system comprising a data source configured to receive a set of loan data for the Loan and comparison logic coupled to the data source and the

Art Unit: 3624

underwriting logic, the comparison logic configured to compare the set of underwriting data to the set of Loan data to determine any differences (p.6, 91-97 and p.8, 115-121).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

2002/0059137

2002/0138413

2002/0082984

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalita M. Hamilton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6743. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday (6:30-2:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/737,298

Art Unit: 3624

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LMH